

Daniel H. Fingerman (SBN 229683)  
MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.  
River Park Tower  
333 W. San Carlos Street, Suite 1650  
San Jose, CA 95110  
Tel: (408) 279-7000  
Fax: (408) 998-1473

**\*E-Filed 02/17/2010\***

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
San Francisco Technology, Inc.

Daralyn J. Durie (SBN 169825)  
Ryan M. Kent (SBN 220441)  
DURIE TANGRI LLP  
217 Leidesdorff Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Tel: (415) 362-6666  
Fax: (415) 236-6300  
ddurie@durietangri.com  
rkent@durietangri.com

Attorneys for Defendant  
Pavestone Company LP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED, THE  
BRITA PRODUCTS COMPANY, DELTA  
FAUCET COMPANY, EVANS  
MANUFACTURING, INC., THE EVERCARE  
COMPANY, GRAPHIC PACKAGING  
INTERNATIONAL INC., MAGNUM  
RESEARCH INC., PAVESTONE COMPANY  
LP, THE PROCTOR & GAMBLE COMPANY,  
S.C. JOHNSON & SON INC., SPECTRUM  
BRANDS INC., SUPER SWIM CORP.,  
UNILOCK INC., WEST COAST CHAIN  
MFG. CO.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:09 cv-06083 RS

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
ANSWER COMPLAINT AND  
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1 (a), Plaintiff San Francisco Technology Inc. stipulates and agrees that Defendant Pavestone Company LP ("Pavestone") will have until March 1, 2010, to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint filed by Plaintiff. This change will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties appearing below through their undersigned respective attorneys, that Pavestone will have until March 1, 2010, to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

Dated: February 16, 2010

DURIE TANGRI LLP

By: /s/ Ryan M. Kent  
RYAN M. KENT

Attorneys for Defendant  
Pavestone Company LP

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.

By: /s/ Daniel H. Fingerman  
DANIEL H. FINGERMAN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
San Francisco Technology, Inc.

**FILER'S ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I, Ryan M. Kent, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.

/s/ Ryan M. Kent  
RYAN M. KENT

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2 DATED: February 17, 2010

3   
4 HON. RICHARD SEELBORG  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am employed in the City of San Francisco, County San Francisco, State of California, I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 217 Leidesdorff Street, San Francisco, CA 94111. On February 16, 2010, I caused copies of the attached STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT to be served on:

All parties with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system.

In addition, I caused a copy of the attached STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT to be served on the following person(s) in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope and addressed as follows:

Mark B. Garred  
Stetina Brunda Garred & Brucker  
75 Enterprise, Suite 250  
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656  
(949)855-1246

X (BY FIRST CLASS MAIL) I caused each such envelope to the addressee(s) noted above, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail in San Francisco, California. I am readily familiar with the practice of Durie Tangri LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business mail is deposited in the United States Postal Service the same date as it is placed for collection.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 16, 2010, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Colette Aragon  
Colette Aragon